Removal of Cadmium and Lead Ions from Aqueous Solution by Nanocrystalline Magnetite Through Mechanochemical Activation

Mohsen Hosseinzadeh 1, Seyyed Ali Seyyed Ebrahimi 1, Shahram Raygan 1, Seyed Morteza Masoudpannah 2

1 Advanced Magnetic Materials Research Center, School of Metallurgy and Materials Engineering, faculty of Engineering, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran.
2 School of Metallurgy & Materials Engineering, Iran University of Science and Technology (IUST), Tehran, Iran.

Recieved: 19 June 2016; Accepted: 11 December 2016

Corresponding author email: saseyyed@ut.ac.ir

ABSTRACT

In this study, the removal of cadmium and lead ions from aqueous solution by nanocrystalline magnetite was investigated. The nanocrystalline magnetite was synthesized by mechanochemical activation of hematite in a high energy planetary mill in argon atmosphere for 45 hours. The ability of the synthesized nanocrystalline magnetite for removal of Cd(II) and Pb(II) from aqueous solutions was studied in a batch reactor under different experimental conditions with different pHs, contact times, initial metal ion concentrations and temperatures. The solution’s pH was found to be a key factor in the adsorption of heavy metal ions on Fe₃O₄. The optimum pH of the solution for adsorption of Cd(II) and Pb(II) from aqueous solutions was found to be 6.5 and 5.5, respectively. The best models to describe the kinetics and isotherms of single adsorption were both the pseudo first and second-order kinetic models and Langmuir models, respectively, indicating the monolayer chemisorption of Cd(II) and Pb(II) on Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles. Moreover, the thermodynamic parameters (i.e., ΔH°, ΔS°, ΔG°) were evaluated which indicated that the adsorption was spontaneous and exothermic. The results suggested that the synthesized material (magnetite nanocrystalline particles) may be used as effective and economic absorbent for removal of Cd(II) and Pb(II) from aqueous solutions.
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1. Introduction

Removal of heavy metal ions, such as Pb(II), Cd(II), As (V) and Hg(II) is one of significant areas for ecological researchers [1]. Up to now, various methods such as chemical precipitation, adsorption, evaporation, ion exchange, membrane filtration, electrodialysis and reverse osmosis, have been developed to treat water containing heavy metals [2–5]. Among them, adsorption has increasingly received much attention in recent years because of its simple and stable handling process, high efficient wastewater treatment in removing heavy metal ions from wastewaters, absence of secondary pollution and low operating cost [6]. A number of materials including activated carbon [7], bentonite [8] and chitosan [9] have been reported to be capable of adsorbing heavy metal ions from aqueous solutions. Recently, iron oxide especially magnetite [10], hematite [11] and maghemite [12] nanoparticles have been applied to the removal of different heavy
metal ions due to their low cost, environmentally benign nature and excellent adsorption properties for environmental applications [13]. Karami [14] reported that magnetic Fe₃O₄ nanorods synthesized by pulsed current electrochemical method had the maximum adsorption capacity of 107 and 88 mg/g for Pb(II) and Cd(II) removal from aqueous solutions, respectively. By reducing the diameter of Fe₃O₄ nanocrystals from 300 to 12 nm, the removal efficiency of As(III) and As(V) increased by orders of magnitude [15].

In this work, the removal capacity of Cd(II) and Pb(II) from aqueous solutions using Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles prepared by mechanically activation of hematite was systematically investigated and the effects of contact time, initial metal ion concentration and solution pH on the removal of Cd(II) and Pb(II) from aqueous solutions were studied.

2. Experimental Procedure

2.1. Materials

The raw materials used in the present study were hematite (α-Fe₂O₃, Merck, purity>99.5%, grain size of almost 2 μm), cadmium nitrate tetrahydrate (Cd(NO₃)₂·4 H₂O), Merck), lead(II) nitrate(Pb(NO₃)₂), Merck), NaOH and HNO₃ with concentration of 0.1 M for adjusting the pH of aqueous solutions and deionized water for making all aqueous solutions. 1000 ppm of Pb(II) and Cd(II) ions stock solution was prepared by dissolving metals salts. The required solutions with different concentrations were made by this stock solution.

2.2. Synthesis and characterization of nanocrystalline magnetite

High energy planetary mill (Pulverisette7, Fritsch, Germany) was employed to synthesize nanocrystalline magnetite powder. For this purpose, 12 g hematite was milled in Argon atmosphere for 10, 20 and 45 hours with the powder-to-ball mass ratio of 1:25 in which the balls with the diameter of 3, 5 and 7 mm were used.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were carried out for phase identification with Philips PW-1730 machine, using CuKα radiation. The microstructure of iron oxides was investigated using CAM SCAN MV 2300 scanning electron microscope.

2.3. Adsorption studies

Adsorption tests of Cd(II) and Pb(II) using magnetite nanoparticles were carried out in a batch reactor with the 20 ml of the solution containing 100 ppm of ions and 0.1 g adsorbent. The batch adsorption experiments were conducted to obtain the equilibrium data. A simple magnet was used to separate the magnetite nanoparticles from the solution.

The amount of adsorbed Cd(II) and Pb(II) was calculated from the difference between the initial and final solution concentrations. The concentration of cations in solution was measured by an atomic absorption spectroscopy, Varian AA240. The adsorption capacity and removal efficiency of cations were calculated according to the below equations, respectively:

\[ q_e = \frac{(C_0 - C_e)V}{m} \]  
\[ R \% = \left(\frac{C_0 - C_e}{C_0}\right) \times 100 \]

where \( q_e \) (mg/g) is the amount of adsorbed cations onto the unit amount of the adsorbent, \( C_0 \) (mg/L) is the initial ion concentration, \( C_e \) (mg/L) is the final or equilibrium ion concentration, \( V \) (L) is the volume of the solution, and \( m \) (g) is the adsorbent weight in the dry form.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterization of mechanically activated hematite

Fig. 1 shows the XRD patterns of as-received hematite (JCPDS file No. 33-0664) and the powders milled for various times. The formation of magnetite (JCPDS file No. 19-0629) began after milling for 10 h and completed for 45 h.

![Fig. 1- XRD patterns of the as-received hematite and after milling for different times.](image-url)
Moreover, milling for more than 45 h has no effect on the phases unless a little oxidation had been appeared. High energy generated during milling is responsible for breaking of the oxygen bonds and reduction of hematite to magnetite without using reducing agents [16, 17].

SEM image of the as-received powder with the particle size of almost 1-2 μm is shown in Fig. 2a. The SEM image of sample milled for 45 hours can also be observed in Fig. 2b. The particle size which determined by the lineal intercept method is about 400 nm with significant agglomeration.

3.2. Adsorption batch studies

3.2.1. Adsorption kinetics

Fig. 3a demonstrates the effect of contact time on the adsorption capacity of Pb(II) and Cd(II) on Fe₃O₄. Initially the adsorption amount qt increased quickly, then reached equilibria in about 10 and 20 min for the single adsorption of Cd(II) and Pb(II), respectively. The fast adsorption rate at the incipient stage could be attributed to the increase of driving force provided by the concentration gradient of cations in solution and the existence of great number of active sites on the surface of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles [18]. Hence, a contact time of 20 min was sufficient to reach equilibrium for Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles in the adsorption of heavy metal ions, which was selected in the further experiments.

In order to understand the characteristics of the adsorption process, three kinetic models, including pseudo-first-order [19], pseudo-second-order [20], and Weber-Morris kinetic models [21] were applied to fit the experimental data. The expression formulas, the linear forms, the way of plots and the correlative parameters of these kinetic models with the correlation coefficients (R²) were represented in Table 1. The fitting of experimental data to the linear forms for the three adsorption kinetic models were shown in Fig. 3b-d.

The higher linear regression correlations shows the pseudo-first order model and the pseudo-second-order model were more appropriate for describing the single adsorption behavior of Cd(II) and Pb(II). Moreover, the results suggested that both physical and chemical adsorption might be involved in the adsorption behavior of heavy metal ions on Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles process [20].

The analysis of Weber–Morris model was applied to discuss the actual rate-controlling step in the single adsorption of Cd(II) and Pb(II), as shown in Fig. 3d. The first line portion represented external mass transfer. The second linear portion which shows intra-particle diffusion, is sorption. The plots did not through the origin, suggesting that intra-particle diffusion was not the only rate-controlling step, and the external mass transfer also contributed significantly in the rate-controlling step due to the large intercepts of the second linear portion of the plots. So the adsorption process was collectively controlled by external mass transfer and intra-particle diffusion [21].

3.2.2. Adsorption isotherm

To explore the adsorption capacities of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles toward Cd(II) and Pb(II), the
adsorption of metal ions with different initial concentrations (50-200 mg/L) was investigated. Fig. 4a shows single adsorption isotherms with the initial concentrations ranging from 50 to 250 mg/L. It was observed that the adsorption amount of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles increased gradually at low concentrations (0–100 mg/L), then reached a plateau and steady stayed for higher initial concentrations of metal ions.

The adsorption data of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles were analyzed using three different isothermal adsorption models, namely Langmuir model [22], Freundlich model [23] and Dubinbin–Radushkevich (D-R) model [24], respectively (Fig. 4b-d), and the calculated isothermal parameters were presented in Table 2. Compared with the Freundlich and Dubinbin–Radushkevich models, the Langmuir model fitted better with the experimental data due to the higher correlation coefficients (R² > 0.999).

The maximum adsorption capacities calculated by the Langmuir model were 13.8 and 9.52 mg/g, for Cd(II) and Pb(II), respectively, which were rather close to the values experimentally determined (12.6 and 9.01 mg/g) in Fig. 4a. As documented, the Langmuir model assumes monolayer coverage of the adsorbent surface, on which the binding

Table 1- Adsorption kinetic models, the corresponding linear forms and parameters of Fe₃O₄ obtained at three phosphate initial concentrations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kinetic models</th>
<th>Linear form</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Pb(II)</th>
<th>Cd(II)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo-first order</td>
<td>ln(qe - qt) = lnqe - k₁ t</td>
<td>qₑ (mg g⁻¹)</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>6.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K₁ (min⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>0.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo-second-order</td>
<td>t / qₑ = 1 / k₂ qₑ² + 1 / qₑ t</td>
<td>qₑ (mg g⁻¹)</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K₂ (min⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0.214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weber-Morris</td>
<td>qₑ = k₃t₀.₅ + c</td>
<td>K₃ (min⁻¹)</td>
<td>4.278</td>
<td>5.989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K₄ (min⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.347</td>
<td>0.215</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
sites have the same affinity for the adsorption [22]. Therefore, the formed monolayer was possibly due to the chemical interaction between Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles and heavy metal ions. The conformity of adsorption data to the Langmuir isotherm ($R^2 \approx 1.00$) could be interpreted as a homogeneous adsorption process, leading to a monolayer binding. The equilibrium parameter ($RL$ values) in the range of $0 < RL < 1$ indicated that the adsorbent was much more favorable (see Table 2). The results well agreed with the observations of previous reports for the adsorption of Pb(II) and Cd(II) ions onto the other adsorbents [25, 26]. However, according to the analysis of the D–R model, the $E$ values was about 1.0 kJ/mol, which indicated that the adsorption behavior of Pb(II) and Cd(II) ions on Fe$_3$O$_4$ could be described as the weak interaction of cations with this adsorbent [22].

3.2.3. Adsorption thermodynamics
The effect of temperature is a major influencing factor in the adsorption process. The dependence of $R^2 = 0.989$

\[
\begin{align*}
C_e & = \frac{1}{q_m b + q_m} \\
R_L & = \frac{1}{1 + bC_e} \\
Lnq_e & = Lnq_{me} + \frac{1}{n} LnC_e
\end{align*}
\]

Table 2- Adsorption isotherm models, the corresponding linear forms and parameters of Fe$_3$O$_4$ obtained with pH 5.5 at 25 °C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isotherm models</th>
<th>Linear form</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Pb(II)</th>
<th>Cd(II)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Langmuir</strong></td>
<td>$q_e = \frac{1}{b + \frac{C_e}{q_m}}$</td>
<td>$q_m$ (mg g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>13.88</td>
<td>9.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R_L = \frac{1}{1 + bC_e}$</td>
<td>$b$ (L mg$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$Lnq_e = Lnq_{me} + \frac{1}{n} LnC_e$</td>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>4.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Freundlich</strong></td>
<td>$Lnq_e = q_m \cdot n \cdot e^{-\frac{B}{T}}$</td>
<td>$q_m$ (mg g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>12.88</td>
<td>10.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\varepsilon = RTLn(1 + \frac{1}{C_e})$</td>
<td>$B$ (mol$^2$ kJ$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D-R</strong></td>
<td>$E = -(2B)^{0.5}$</td>
<td>$E$ (J/mol)</td>
<td>-100</td>
<td>-100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the adsorption capacity as a function of adsorption temperature is shown in Fig. 5a. The adsorption on the magnetite adsorbent decreased with increasing of the adsorption temperature. This decrease indicates that the adsorption is not favorable at higher temperatures and adsorption process is exothermic [27]. The thermodynamic parameters ($\Delta H^\circ$, $\Delta S^\circ$, and $\Delta G^\circ$) for adsorption on Fe$_3$O$_4$ can be calculated from the temperature dependent adsorption. The values of enthalpy and entropy changes ($\Delta H^\circ$ and $\Delta S^\circ$) can be calculated from the slope and y-intercept of the plot of $\ln K_d$ vs. $1/T$ via applying the following equations [28]:

$$\Delta G^\circ = \Delta H^\circ - T \Delta S^\circ$$  \hspace{1cm} (eq. 3) \\
$$\ln K_d = \frac{\Delta S^\circ}{R} - \frac{\Delta H^\circ}{RT}$$  \hspace{1cm} (eq. 4) \\
$$K_d = \frac{C_a - C_e}{C_e} \frac{V}{m}$$  \hspace{1cm} (eq. 5)

Where $K_d$ is equilibrium distribution coefficient, $C_a$ (mg/l) is the initial concentration, $C_e$ (mg/l) is the equilibrium concentration, $V$ is the volume (mL), $m$ is the mass of the powder (g), $R$ (8.314 J/mol K) is the ideal gas constant, and $T$ (K) is the temperature. The estimated thermodynamic parameters shown in Table 3 are in the agreement with other reported data. Duan et al. reported the enthalpy change $\Delta H^\circ$ of 14.53 kJ/mol, entropy change $\Delta S^\circ$ of 58.20 J/mol.K for adsorption of Pb$^{2+}$ on the cobalt doped magnetite powders [29]. Accordingly, Boparai et al. also obtained $\Delta H^\circ$ of 7.17 kJ/mol and $\Delta S^\circ$ of 45.3 J/mol.K for adsorption of Cd$^{2+}$ on zerovalent iron particles [30]. The distribution coefficient $K_d$ values decreased with raising temperature (Fig. 5b), indicating the exothermic nature of adsorption.

The negative value of $\Delta S^\circ$ for the adsorption can be attributed to the ordering at the solid/solution interface and a decrease in the degree of freedom of the adsorbed species. The negative values of $\Delta G^\circ$ indicate feasibility of adsorption of metal ions on the adsorbent. Moreover, the $\Delta G^\circ$ values obtained in this study for Pb(II) and Cd(II) ions were $<-20$ kJ/mol, suggesting that the physical adsorption mechanism existed in the adsorption process [18]. This was in accordance with the analysis of D–R isotherm model.

### 3.2.4. pH

The pH of the initial solution plays an important role for adsorption experiments. The effects of pH on the adsorption capacity of cations by the milled powder are shown in Fig. 6. The adsorption

![Graph showing adsorption thermodynamics and (b) LnKd vs. (1/T) ×10³ plots for adsorption of Cd(II) and Pb(II) on Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles (Adsorption dose, 5 g/L, pH, 5.5, and contact time, 20 min).]

### Table 3- Thermodynamic parameters obtained at different temperatures during adsorption on Fe$_3$O$_4$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T(K)</th>
<th>$\Delta G^\circ$ (kJmol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta H^\circ$ (kJmol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta S^\circ$ (Jmol$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pb(II)</td>
<td>Cd(II)</td>
<td>Pb(II)</td>
<td>Cd(II)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>298</td>
<td>-14.03</td>
<td>-13.03</td>
<td>-35.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308</td>
<td>-13.00</td>
<td>-13.08</td>
<td>-14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>318</td>
<td>-12.71</td>
<td>-13.00</td>
<td>-71.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>328</td>
<td>-11.73</td>
<td>-12.88</td>
<td>-5.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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capacities of Pb(II) and Cd(II) cations increased with the increase of initial pH. However, in order to prohibit from precipitating in the form of metal hydroxides for higher pH’s, the pH values higher than 6.5 and 7 were not preferred for Pb(II) and Cd(II), respectively [15,18]. At lower initial pH values, H⁺ compete with the cations for the surface binding sites of the adsorbent, while with increasing the pH and decreasing the competing effect of H⁺ ions, the positively charged cations species occupied the free binding sites [31]. Moreover, the pH of the solution decreased after adsorption of the cations which can be attributed to the replacement of surface protons by adsorbed metal cations, according to the following tentative mechanisms [32]:

\[
M(OH)^+ + SOH \leftrightarrow (SO)M(OH) + H^+ \quad (eq. 6)
\]

\[
M^{2+} + 2SOH \leftrightarrow (SO)_2M + 2H^+ \quad (eq. 7)
\]

For these reactions, SOH and M signify adsorbent and adsorbed metal, respectively.

4. Conclusions
Magnetite Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles synthesized by mechanical activation were used to remove Pb(II) and Cd(II) from aqueous solutions. Batch adsorption experiments were carried out at different contact times, initial concentrations of heavy metal ions and pH values, respectively. It was found that the adsorption rates were very fast and adsorption equilibria were obtained in about 10 and 20 min for the adsorption of Cd(II) and Pb(II), respectively. The best models to describe the kinetics and isotherms of single adsorption were both the pseudo first and second-order kinetic models and Langmuir models, respectively, indicating the monolayer chemisorption of Cd(II) and Pb(II) on Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles. Thermodynamic parameters revealed that the nature of adsorption was spontaneous and exothermic. Moreover, the solution pH was found to be a key factor in the adsorption of heavy metal ions on Fe₃O₄.
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